# Physical Oceanography Distributed Active Archive Center (PO.DAAC)

# Cross-Calibrated, Multi-Platform Ocean Surface Wind Velocity Product (MEaSUREs Project)

### **Guide Document**

## 11 May 2010

### Version 1.1





Pasadena, California

### **Table of Contents:**

1. ABSTRACT	3
2. INVESTIGATORS	4
3. BACKGROUND	4
4. PROCESSING METHODOLOGY	6
5. ASSIMILATED DATA PRODUCTS	8
6. CALIBRATION AND VALIDATION	9
7. DATA SET DESCRIPTION	9
8. READ SOFTWARE	15
9. SOURCES OF ERROR	16
10. KNOWN PROBLEMS	17
11. DATA ACCESS	17
12. REFERENCES	18
13. ACRONYMS	23
14. DOCUMENT HISTORY	25

### 1. Abstract:

The Cross-Calibrated, Multi-Platform Ocean Surface Wind Velocity (hereafter, CCMP) project is funded under the NASA Earth Science Enterprise (ESE) Cooperative Agreement Notice, "Making Earth System Data Records for Use in a Research Environment" (formerly REASoN). Under the REASoN solicitation, a cross-calibrated multi-platform ocean surface wind data set was created using a variational analysis method (hereafter, VAM) to combine wind measurements derived from SeaWinds on QuikSCAT, SeaWinds on ADEOS-II, AMSR-E, TRMM TMI and SSM/I. By combining these measurements, a consistent data record of high resolution (25km) ocean surface winds was created for the period July 1987 through June 2009 with far reaching applications in meteorology and oceanography. Under MEaSURE, this record will be extended through 2012 incorporating data from current and future missions such as WindSat, ASCAT, DMSP (F16-F20), GMI and GCOM-W (AMSR-2) [see Table 1]. Upon completion, a consistent 25-year (1987-2012) climate data record of ocean surface winds that includes all NASA and NOAA assets will be available for atmospheric and oceanic research and for improved weather and shortterm climate prediction. This will be the culmination of extensive research and development under the Pathfinder and REASoN programs.

PO.DAAC also refers to this as Product 289.

### 2. Investigators:

**NOTE:** Please refer all questions concerning the CCMP Product to PO.DAAC User Services: podaac@podaac.jpl.nasa.gov.

Principal Investigator: Dr. Robert Atlas NOAA/AOML 4301 Rickenbacker Causeway Miami, FL 33149

Co-Investigators: Mr. Joseph V. Ardizzone Dr. Ross Hoffman Dr. Juan Carlos Jusem Mr. S. Mark Leidner

User Guide Authors: Mr. David F. Moroni (Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology) Mr. Joseph V. Ardizzone (NASA/GSFC)

### 3. Background:

Consistent oceanic surface wind data of high quality and high temporal and spatial resolution are required to understand and predict the synoptic and mesoscale air-sea interactions which influence both the atmosphere and ocean. Such observations are needed to drive ocean models and surface wave models, calculate surface fluxes of heat, moisture and momentum, provide initial data and verification data for atmospheric models, and to construct ocean surface climatologies.

Surface wind stress provides the most important forcing of the ocean circulation, while the fluxes of heat, moisture and momentum across the air-sea boundary are important factors in the formation, movement, and modification of water masses and the intensification of storms near coasts and over the open oceans (Atlas, 1987). In addition, air-sea interaction plays a major role in theories of ENSO and the 50-day oscillation, as well as in the initiation and maintenance of heat waves and drought and other persistent anomalies (Wolfson et al., 1987; Atlas et al., 1993a).

												1	YE	AR	2						
	87	87 88 89 90 91 92 93 94 95 96 97 98 99 00 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11 12																			
F08																					
F10																					
F11																					
ERS-1																					
ERS-2																					
F13																					
NSCAT																					
F14																					
TMI																					
F15																					
QSCAT																					
AMSRE																					
Seawinds																					
Windsat																					
F16																					
F17																					
ASCAT																					
F18																					
F19																					
AMSR-2																					
GMI																					
F20																					

Prior to the launch of satellites capable of determining ocean surface wind from

Table 1: Availability of satellite ocean surface wind data sets. Shaded boxes indicate the current and projected years of operation for each observing system. Years shaded green indicate observing systems that were assimilated under REASoN. See Acronyms (section 10) for definitions of these missions.

space, observations of ocean surface wind velocity were provided primarily by ships and buoys. While these observations are extremely useful, they also have severe limitations and are generally not adequate for global applications. For example, reports of surface wind by ships are: a) often of poor accuracy, b) cover only very limited regions of the world's oceans, and c) occur at irregular intervals in time and space. Buoys, while of higher accuracy, have extremely sparse coverage. Due to these deficiencies, analyses of surface wind that do not include space-based data can misrepresent atmospheric flow over large regions of the global oceans, and this contributes to the poor calculation of wind stress and sensible and latent heat fluxes in these regions.

The ocean surface responds to wind forcing on many wavelengths. This response provides a mechanism for the microwave remote sensing of ocean surface wind from space. The active sensing of the radar backscatter of centimeter-scale capillary waves allows the retrieval of ocean surface wind vectors with some directional ambiguity. Seasat, ERS (1&2), and NSCAT were designed to take advantage of this phenomenon, but the time periods for which scatterometer data are available are very limited, and not sufficient for studies of inter-annual variability and climate change. Seasat data are available for only the third quarter of 1978 (Atlas et al., 1987). ERS, with more limited coverage, provided data from 1992 to 2002. NSCAT provided data from September 1996 through June 1997. SeaWinds on Quikscat was launched in June 1999 and was joined by SeaWinds on ADEOS-II in December of 2002 until the unexpected power loss aboard ADEOS-II on October 24, 2003. ASCAT data is available beginning in March 2007.

Passive microwave remote sensing of the ocean surface also has the capability of retrieving ocean surface wind speed (i.e., not the vector) through the response of the microwave emissivity to the surface roughness (Wentz et al., 1986). Four passive instruments, SMMR, SSM/I, TRMM Microwave Imager (TMI), and AMSR-E have provided ocean surface wind speed data. SSM/I provides the longest and most continuous record of satellite surface wind observations over the oceans. The major limitation of the SSM/I, as well as TMI and AMSR-E, is the inability to extract directional ambiguities (i.e., a wind vector) from the passive retrievals. In an effort to make the SSM/I data more generally useful, Atlas et al. (1996) developed several different approaches, ranging from simple direction assignment methods to a variational analysis method (VAM), to convert the SSM/I speeds to vector winds and assimilate them into global atmospheric models (Atlas et al., 1983, 1987, 1991). These approaches were tested using simulated data, Seasat winds (with the directional information withheld), and finally with actual SSM/I observations. This evaluation (Atlas and Bloom, 1989) showed the VAM to be the most accurate, which was the method chosen by Atlas et al. (1996) for the processing of all ocean surface wind data sets under SSM/I Pathfinder (http://www.ssmi.com/ssmi/ssmi description.html) and REASoN (http://reason-projects.gsfc.nasa.gov/). Future missions under the current CCMP project will continue to utilize the VAM assimilation method. Using this approach, different types of data have been assimilated in a filtering procedure. The resulting analysis is used to assign directions to the passive microwave winds. Seventeen years of SSM/I data were processed in this manner under the Pathfinder program beginning with the operational phase of the F8 DMSP SSM/I in July 1987. Under the REASoN project, this method was optimized for the assimilation of all available satellite surface wind data sets at high resolution (25km) up to and including present day missions (see Table 1).

### 4. Processing Methodology:

The processing methodology is described by Atlas et al. (1996) and is similar to that originally described by Hoffman (1984) with modifications to accommodate the special attributes of satellite surface wind data (Hoffman et al., 2003) as well as some additional tuning of the data quality checking and filter weights. The VAM generates a gridded surface wind analysis which minimizes an objective function **J** measuring the misfit of the analysis to the background, the data and certain a priori constraints.

Lambda weights are used to control the amount of influence each constraint has on the final analysis. Table 2 describes each term:

Expression	Description of constraint
	Observation Function for the
$\sum (\mathbf{V_A} - \mathbf{V_O})^2$	• wind vectors
$\sum (\mathbf{V_A} - \mathbf{V_O})^2$	• wind vectors
$\sum ( \mathbf{V_A}  -  \mathbf{V_O} )^2$	• wind speeds
	Background Constraints on the
$\int (\mathbf{V}_{\mathrm{A}} - \mathbf{V}_{\mathrm{B}})^2$	<ul> <li>vector wind magnitude</li> </ul>
$\int [\nabla^2 (u_{\rm A} - u_{\rm B})]^2 + \int [\nabla^2 (v_{\rm A} - v_{\rm B})]^2$	• Laplacian of the wind components
$\int [ abla^2 (\chi_{\rm A} - \chi_{\rm B})]^2$	• divergence
$\int [ abla^2 (\psi_{\mathrm{A}} - \psi_{\mathrm{B}})]^2$	• vorticity
$\int (\partial \zeta_{ m A}/\partial t - \partial \zeta_{ m B}/\partial t)^2$	• vorticity tendency
	Expression $ \begin{split} & \sum (\mathbf{V}_{A} - \mathbf{V}_{O})^{2} \\ & \sum (\mathbf{V}_{A} - \mathbf{V}_{O})^{2} \\ & \sum ( \mathbf{V}_{A}  -  \mathbf{V}_{O} )^{2} \end{split}$ $ \begin{split} & \int [\nabla^{2}(u_{A} - u_{B})]^{2} + \int [\nabla^{2}(v_{A} - v_{B})]^{2} \\ & \int [\nabla^{2}(\chi_{A} - \chi_{B})]^{2} \\ & \int [\nabla^{2}(\psi_{A} - \psi_{B})]^{2} \\ & \int [\partial \zeta_{A}/\partial t - \partial \zeta_{B}/\partial t)^{2} \end{split}$

 $J = \lambda_{\text{CONV}}J_{\text{CONV}} + \lambda_{\text{SCAT}}J_{\text{SCAT}} + \lambda_{\text{SPD}}J_{\text{SPD}} + \lambda_{\text{VWM}}J_{\text{VWM}} + \lambda_{\text{LAP}}J_{\text{LAP}} + \lambda_{\text{DIV}}J_{\text{DIV}} + \lambda_{\text{VOR}}J_{\text{VOR}} + \lambda_{\text{DYN}}J_{\text{DYN}}$ 

$$\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{A}} = \alpha \mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{A}} + \mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{\delta}}$$

Table 2 VAM penalty terms.  $V_A$  is the VAM analysis at the observation time.  $V_B$  is the background wind analysis.  $V_0$  is the observation.

The a priori constraints are: (1) the analysis should be "close" to the background field, (2) the differences between the analyzed and background wind, vorticity, and divergence should be smooth, and (3) the estimated time rate of change of the vorticity of the analysis should be small. These constraints control the degree to which the analysis can use the data to modify the background field. The analysis procedures also include a simple quality control; initially, data far from the background are withheld , but after an initial analysis the withheld data are then reconsidered in a second pass using tighter acceptance criteria. For further details see Hoffman (2003) and Atlas et al. (1993, 1996).

Under the REASoN project, the VAM was optimized for the assimilation of multiple observing systems at high resolution. As the spatial resolution increases, it becomes more important to account for the asynopticity (departure from the analysis time) of the observations being assimilated. With many overlapping observations spanning a 6-hour window, it is critical to have an accurate assessment of the background field at the actual observing times to derive an accurate analysis increment. The VAM FGAT algorithm was enhanced to better estimate this asynoptic increment and to appropriately de-weight the influence of observations made at times far from the synoptic time where gross estimates of the background are likely to misrepresent the actual wind field. The penalty term, requiring that the time rate of change of the vorticity of the analysis be small, was also modified since this constraint may not apply at high spatial resolution. The dynamical constraint was reformulated to be the integral of the squared difference between the analysis and background time rate of change of vorticity at the surface. This adjustment helps to avoid the elimination of small scale features in the analysis where the time rate of change of vorticity might be large.

### **5. Assimilated Data Products:**

#### 5.1 Satellite Surface Winds

Satellite surface wind data are obtained from Remote Sensing Systems under the DISCOVER project (<u>http://www.discover-earth.org/</u>). RSS uses a more accurate seasurface emissivity model resulting in much better consistency between wind speed retrievals from microwave radiometers (SSM/I, AMSR, TMI) and those from scatterometers (NSCAT and SeaWinds). All observations are referenced to a height of 10 meters assuming that the boundary layer over the ocean is neutrally stable.

#### 5.2 Conventional (Ships and Buoys)

The conventional data used in our analyses is obtained from the Scientific Division of the National Center for Atmospheric Research (NCAR). These consist of all ship and buoy observations of surface wind. In addition to the standard observations, additional buoy data are obtained from the Pacific Marine and Environmental Laboratory (PMEL) under the Tropical Atmosphere Ocean Project (TAO). These data consist of moored ocean buoys for improved detection, understanding and prediction of El Niño and La Niña (see <a href="http://www.pmel.noaa.gov/tao/">http://www.pmel.noaa.gov/tao/</a> for more information). When available, buoys from the Pilot Research Moored Array in the Atlantic (PIRATA) are also used (<a href="http://www.pmel.noaa.gov/pirata/">http://www.pmel.noaa.gov/pirata/</a>). All conventional observations are adjusted to a height of 10 meters assuming neutral stability (see Hoffman, 2005). When unavailable, instrument heights are assumed to be 19.5m and 5m for ships and buoys respectively.

#### **5.3 Background Analyses**

The VAM requires a background (first guess) analysis of gridded U and V winds as a starting estimate of the wind field. Analysis increments are added to this background to arrive at the final analysis. For this project, two data sets were used as the starting wind field. 10-meter winds from the ERA-40 Re-analysis were used as a background for the period, July 1987 to December 1998. Beginning in 1999, the benefits of 4-DVAR assimilation and increased spatial resolution make the ECMWF Operational analysis the better choice for a background. Both data sets were obtained from the

Computation and Information Systems Laboratory (CISL) at the National Center for Atmospheric Research (NCAR): <u>http://dss.ucar.edu/datasets/</u>.

### 6. Calibration and Validation:

To be added later...

### 7. Data Set Description:

#### 7.1 Product Types

The main product stream produced under this project is called First-Look (FLK). FLK products are available within 6-months of real-time. A Late-Look (LLK) product stream will be produced in response to revisions or updates to the methodology or assimilated data products. LLK will incorporate improvements resulting from research and evaluation of FLK products as well as any additional satellite surface wind products that become available under the DISCOVER project.

Each product stream contains three standard data sets designated as level 3.0, 3.5 and 2.5. The primary data set, denoted Level 3.0, contains 6-hourly gridded VAM analyses. These analyses are time averaged over 5-day and monthly periods to derive the Level 3.5 data sets. For level 3.5a data sets, only those grid points with one or more analyzed observations are used in the averages in order to more accurately approximate a satellite-only climatology. A level 3.5b data set will also be made available that uses all points. Finally, directions from the VAM analyses are assigned to the wind speed observations for each passive microwave sensor to derive the Level 2.5 data sets.

Level 3.0 and 3.5 data sets are stored in the Network Common Data Format (NetCDF) in compressed form to minimize storage requirements. NetCDF is an industry standard for managing scientific data and is freely available (see <a href="http://www.unidata.ucar.edu/software/netcdf">http://www.unidata.ucar.edu/software/netcdf</a>). Applications using the Hierarchical Data Format (HDF) (see <a href="http://www.hdfgroup.org/">http://www.hdfgroup.org/</a>) can also be used to read these files.

Level 2.5 products are stored in binary "bytemap" files to parallel the original satellite data files from RSS. These are easy to use files that employ maximum compression techniques to reduce storage requirements for large volumes of satellite data. All observations are mapped to a 25km grid and packed into 1-byte integers. The grid

auto-navigates the data thus reducing the need to store latitudes and longitudes. In addition, bytemap files are highly compressible using GNU zip (gzip).

Each data set is archived using the following naming convention:

#### NAME\_YYYYMMDD\_vVV/LLPPP.TTT.gz

NAME = unique identifier describing the data YYYYMMDD = date/time expressed as year, month, day VV = product version number (in tenths) LL = product level designation (in tenths) PPP = product stream (flk or llk) TTT = file type designating the data format

For example, "analysis\_20040101\_v10/30flk.nc.gz" designates a level 3.0 (130) data set containing VAM analyses for January 1, 2004 from version 1.0 (v10) of the first-look (flk) product stream in NetCDF (.nc) format. The ".gz" node indicates that the file must first be de-compressed using the GNU zip (gzip) compression utility.

Values for the abovementioned nodes are described below:

NAME	analysis	VAM analyses centered at 0,6,12 and 18z. See grid-1.
	pentad	5-day means starting on the first day of each year. In leap years, the pentad starting on 2/26 will include 6-days such that the starting date for each pentad remains the same across all years. See Grid-1.
	monthly	Monthly means starting on the first day of each month. See Grid-1.
	f08,f10,f11,f13,f14,f15	DMSP SSM/I satellite wind speeds with assigned directions (stored 2x daily as AM and PM orbits). See Grid-2.
	amsre	AMSR-E wind speeds with assigned directions (stored 2x daily as AM and PM orbits). See Grid-2.
	tmi	TRMM TMI wind speeds with assigned directions (stored 2x daily as AM and PM orbits). See Grid-3.
YYYY	1987-	4-digit year.
MM	01-12	2-digit month.

DD	01-31	2-digit day.
VV	11	Version 1.1.
PPP	flk	First-Look data stream.
	llk	Late-Look data stream.
LL	30	Level 3.0 instantaneous analyses (see Variable Description for Level 3.0).
	35	Level 3.5 time averaged analyses (see **This variable will be updated to contain additional information such as land and ice flags. Updates will be posted when the installation is complete. Scale and offset factors may change.
		Variable Description for Level 3.5).
	25	Level 2.5 satellite swath wind speed observations with assigned directions (seeVariable <b>Description for Level 2.5</b> ).
TTT	nc	NetCDF.
	bmap	Bytemap: flat binary data format containing 1-byte lat/lon grids.

#### 7.2 Variable Types

The following tables describe the variables contained on the level 3.0, 3.5 and 2.5 data sets. Most variables are stored as one or two byte "packed" integers to minimize storage. A scale and offset factor is provided for each packed integer variable to retrieve the actual value as follows:

actual = integer\*scale + offset

Name	Number Type	Scale	Offset	Missing Value	Description
time	float	1.0	0.0	N/A	hours since 1987-01-01
uwnd	short	0.0030519441	0.0	-32767	u-wind at 10 meters (m/s)
vwnd	short	0.0030519441	0.0	-32767	v-wind at 10 meters (m/s)

nobs	short	1.0	32766	-32767	number of observations used
					in the analysis**

**\*\***This variable will be updated to contain additional information such as land and ice flags. Updates will be posted when the installation is complete. Scale and offset factors may change.

# Variable Description for Level 3.5

Name	Number Type	Scale	Offset	Missing Value	Description
time	float	1.0	0.0	N/A	hours since 1987-01-01
uwnd	short	0.001525972	0.0	-32767	u-wind at 10 meters (m/s)
vwnd	short	0.001525972	0.0	-32767	v-wind at 10 meters (m/s)
upstr	short	0.030519441	0.0	-32767	u-comp of pseudostress at 10 meters (m**2/s**2)
vpstr	short	0.030519441	0.0	-32767	v-comp of pseudostress at 10 meters (m**2/s**2)
wspd	short	0.001144479	37.5	-32767	wind speed at 10 meters (m/s)
nobs	short	1.0	32766	-32767	number of times used in the average

#### Variable Description for Level 2.5

Name	Number Type	Scale	Offset	Missing Value	Description
time	byte	6.0	0.0	255	time of day in minutes (0-1440)
uwnd	byte	1/2.54	-50.0	255	u-wind at 10 meters (m/s)
vwnd	byte	1/2.54	-50.0	255	v-wind at 10 meters (m/s)

#### 7.3 Grid Description

All data sets share the same grid. Latitude extent varies depending on the data type. In the tables below, dimension "1" references the innermost (fastest incrementing)

dimension. The convention for C and Fortran would be array[dim2][dim1] and array(dim1,dim2) respectively.

#### Grid-1

Dimension	Name	Size	Range	Spacing
			(deg)	(deg)
1	Longitude	1440	0.125 to	0.25
	_		359.875	
2	Latitude	628	-78.375 to	0.25
			78.375	

#### Grid-2

Dimension	Name	Size	Range (deg)	Spacing (deg)
1	Longitude	1440	0.125 to 359.875	0.25
2	Latitude	720	-89.875 to 89.875	0.25

#### Grid-3

Dimension	Name	Size	Range (deg)	Spacing		
				(deg)		
1	Longitude	1440	0.125 to 359.875	0.25		
2	Latitude	320	-39.875 to	0.25		
			39.875			

#### 7.4 First-Look Version 1.1

First-Look (FLK) version 1.1 is the first product released under this project. Data sets are available at: <u>http://podaac.jpl.nasa.gov/DATA\_CATALOG/ccmpinfo.html</u>. Table 3 summarizes the satellite retrieved products used in this release. The RSS product version number is listed for each observing system. Version number can vary from year to year depending on the availability of the release at the time of processing. Processing for the years 2004 and 2005 were completed before the version-5 AMSR-E product release. Version-5 corrected a rain-flagging problem that resulted in too many rejected observations in the version-4 release (see Figure 1).

- Longh		Year																			
1	87	88	89	90	91	92	93	94	95	96	97	98	99	00	01	02	03	04	05	06	07
F08													-	I	I	I		-	I	-	-
F10													1	1	1	1	1	1	1	ł	1
F11													V6	V6	-		-	1		-	-
F13													V6								
F14													V6								
F15													V6								
AMSRE																V5	V5	V4	V4	V5	V5
TMI													V4								
QSCAT													٧3	٧3	٧3	V3	٧3	٧3	٧3	٧3	V3
SEA- WINDS																	V3				

Table 3 RSS product version number for each year of the first-look v1.1 processing. Version numbers arelisted for each year and each observing system. The most recent version of the RSS data was used at the timeof processing and may vary from year to year.



Figure 1 : AMSR-E quality control metrics for 2004 (a) and 2003 (b) in the VAM FLK v1.1 product stream. The total number of observations are shown in black. The number of observations failing quality control due to the presence of rain is shown in green. There are nearly twice the number of rain flagged reports in 2004 (a) as there are in 2003 (b) where version-5 AMSR-E from RSS replaced version-4.

### 8. Read Software:

Open source software tools for reading data sets are available in Fortran and C. In addition, visualization and analysis tools are available using the Grid Analysis and Display System (GrADS). GrADS is an interactive desktop tool that is used for easy access, manipulation and visualization of earth science data. Its built-in scripting language enables users to easily tailor applications for analysis and visualization, and engages the user community in the development and sharing of information technology. GrADS has an extensive user group base and is freely available on many operating systems. See <a href="http://grads.iges.org/grads/grads.html">http://grads.iges.org/grads/grads.html</a> for more information.

The following table describes the software tools available at: <u>http://podaac.jpl.nasa.gov/DATA\_CATALOG/ccmpinfo.html</u> :

Name	Туре	Description
ncread.f	Fortran	Sample program for reading NetCDF (.nc)
	77	data sets using the NetCDF F77 API:
		http://www.unidata.ucar.edu/software/netcdf/
ncread.F	Fortran	Sample program for reading NetCDF (.nc)
	90	data sets using the NetCDF F90 API:
		http://www.unidata.ucar.edu/software/netcdf/
bmread.F	Fortran	Sample program for reading Level2.5
	90	bytemap files.
ncread.c	C	Sample program for reading NetCDF (.nc)
		data sets using the NetCDF C API:
		http://www.unidata.ucar.edu/software/netcdf/
hdfread.c	С	Sample program for reading NetCDF (.nc)
		data sets using the HDF4 C API:
		http://www.hdfgroup.org/hdf4.html
bmread.c	C	Sample program for reading Level2.5
		bytemap files.
plot_135.gs	GrADS	Plots streamlines and shaded vector
		magnitude using level3.5 data sets
	Script	individually or in combination to produce
	-	new averages. See
		http://www.iges.org/grads/downloads.html

plot_l35_stress.gs	GrADS	Plots pseudo-stress vectors and shaded vector
	а · ,	
	Script	individually or in combination to produce
		new averages. See
		http://www.iges.org/grads/downloads.html
stats_130.gs	GrADS	Reads level 3.0 data sets and prints statistics
	script	on global wind speed. See
		http://www.iges.org/grads/downloads.html
stats_125.gs	GrADS	Reads level 2.5 data sets and prints statistics
	script	on global wind speed. See
		http://www.iges.org/grads/downloads.html

### 9. Sources of Error:

There is some error resulting from packing the data into 2-byte and 1-byte integers. Errors from packing can be as high as 0.2 m/s. Applications requiring high accuracy should consider both the error resulting from data compression and the inherent observation errors.

### **10. Known Problems:**

- The ERA-40 Reanalysis data used in the most current FLK product is at a 2.5 degree grid resolution. A 1.125 degree resolution version of ERA-40 is currently available and will be implemented in the future release of either the FLK or LLK product which will help to maintain better grid consistency and lower spatial errors in the VAM processing.
- There is currently no land or ice mask available for the Level 3.0 product, and therefore we advise caution when examining data points in close proximity to land or areas known to be populated by sea ice. There is an implicit land/sea-ice mask for the Level 2.5 and 3.5 products, which essentially coincides with the missing value flag where satellite data was either unavailable or contaminated by various factors such as rain, sea-ice, or land. A land/ice flag is currently being developed for the Level 3.0 product and is likely to be released as part of the "nobs" parameter within the Level 3.0 product (stay tuned!).
- The background wind analyses used in the FLK processing contain stability effects which were not removed before processing. Stability effects will be removed in future releases which will make the background data more compatible with the satellite observations.

### **11. Data Access:**

#### **Obtaining Data:**

The CCMP data products, read software and documentation are freely available for public download via anonymous FTP at: <u>ftp://podaac.jpl.nasa.gov/ocean\_wind/ccmp/</u>. All data granules are compressed using the industry standard GNU Zip compression utility. To learn more about the GNU compression utility, please visit the GZIP home page: <u>http://www.gzip.org/</u>.

For information on all other ocean surface wind products available at PO.DAAC, please visit our Ocean Wind data product listing: <u>http://podaac.jpl.nasa.gov/DATA\_CATALOG/ow.html</u>

For general news, announcements, and information on all other PO.DAAC data products, please visit the PO.DAAC home page: <u>http://podaac.jpl.nasa.gov/</u>.

#### **Contact Information:**

Questions and comments concerning the CCMP science data products should be directed to the Physical Oceanography Distributed Active Archive Center (PO.DAAC) at the NASA Jet Propulsion Laboratory (JPL). Please note that email is always the preferred method of communication.

- E-Mail: podaac@podaac.jpl.nasa.gov
- WWW: http://podaac.jpl.nasa.gov/DATA\_CATALOG/ccmpinfo.html
- Mail: PO.DAAC User Services Office Jet Propulsion Laboratory M/S T1721-202 4800 Oak Grove Drive Pasadena, CA 91109

### **12. References:**

- [1] Atlas, R. and A. Pursch, 1983: Model sensitivity to low-level wind specification. NASA Tech. Memo. 84983, 22-24.
- [2] Atlas, R., W. E. Baker, M. Halem, E. Kalnay, and P. M. Woiceshyn, 1983: The impact of the Seasat-A scatterometer data on GLAS model forecasts. <u>Proceedings of the Sixth Conference on Numerical Weather Prediction.</u>

- [3] Atlas, R., W. E. Baker, E. Kalnay, M. Halem, P. Woiceshyn, and S. Peteherych, 1984: The impact of scatterometer wind data on global weather forecasting. <u>Frontiers of Remote Sensing of the Oceans and Troposphere from Air and Space</u> <u>Platforms.</u>
- [4] Atlas, R., E. Kalnay, and M. Halem, 1985a: The impact of satellite temperature sounding and wind data on numerical weather prediction. <u>Optical Engineering</u>, <u>24</u>, 341-346.
- [5] Atlas, R., E. Kalnay, J. Susskind, W. E. Baker, and M. Halem, 1985b: Simulation studies of the impact of future observing systems on weather prediction. Proceedings of Seventh Conference on Numerical Weather Prediction.
- [6] Atlas, R. , 1986: Simulation studies of the effect of low level wind data on Southern Hemisphere analyses and numerical forecasts. <u>Res. Act. in Atm. and</u> <u>Oceanic Modeling.</u>
- [7] Atlas, R., A. J. Busalacchi, M. Ghil, S. Bloom and E. Kalnay, 1987: Global surface wind and flux fields from model assimilation of Seasat data. <u>J. Geophys.</u> <u>Res</u>. 92, 6477- 6487.
- [8] Atlas,R. and S.C.Bloom,1988: Verification of satellite surface wind speed directional assignment using simulated data. <u>Res. Act. in Atm. and Oceanic Modeling.</u>
- [9] Atlas,R.,1988: Recent SASS data impact studies at GLA, <u>Res. Act. in Atm. and</u> <u>Oceanic Modeling.</u>
- [10] Atlas, R. and S. C. Bloom, 1989a: Global surface wind vectors resulting from the assimilation of satellite wind speed data in atmospheric general circulation models. <u>Proceedings of the Oceans 89 Conference.</u>, 260-265.
- [11] Atlas, R. and S. C. Bloom, 1989b: Assimilation of satellite wind speed data. Research Activities in Atmospheric and Oceanic Modeling.
- [12] Atlas, R., S. C. Bloom and R. N. Hoffman, 1990a: Production of a one-year data set of global SSM/I wind vectors. <u>Research Activities in Atmospheric and</u> <u>Oceanic Modeling 14</u>, December 1990.
- [13] Atlas, R. et al, 1990b: The U.S. Contribution to Air-Sea Flux Estimates. <u>U.S.</u> <u>WOCE Planning Report Number 15.</u>
- [14] Atlas, R., 1991: Estimates of air-sea fluxes and ocean surface fields. U.S. WOCE Implementation Plan 1991.

- [15] Atlas, R., S. C.Bloom, R. N. Hoffman, J. Ardizzone and G. Brin, 1991: Spacebased surface wind vectors to aid understanding of air-sea interactions. <u>EOS.</u> 72, 201-208.
- [16] Atlas, R., N.Wolfson and J.Terry, 1993a: The effect of SST and soil moisture anomalies on the 1988 U.S. summer drought. Journal of Climate, 6, 2034-2048.
- [17] Atlas, R., S. C. Bloom, R. N. Hoffman, 1993b: Surface wind velocity over the oceans. <u>Atlas of Satellite Observations Related to Global Change</u>. 129-139.
- [18] Atlas, R., R.N. Hoffman, S.C. Bloom, J.C. Jusem, and J. Ardizzone, 1996: A multiyear global surface wind velocity dataset using SSM/I wind observations. <u>BAMS</u>, <u>77</u>, 5, 869-882.
- [19] Atlas, R., S.C. Bloom, R. N. Hoffman, E. Brin, J. Terry, D. Bungato, and J.C. Jusem, 1999: Geophysical validation of NSCAT winds using atmospheric data and analyses. JGR, 104, C5, 11405-11424.
- [20] Atlas, R., S.-J. Lin, B.-W. Shen, O. Reale, and K.-S. Yeh. Improving hurricane prediction through innovative global modeling. In Extending the Horizons: Advances in Computing, Optimization, and Decision Technologies, E.K. Baker, A. Joseph, A. Mehrotra, and M.A. Trick (eds.). Springer, 1-14 (2007).
- [21] Atlas, R., O. Reale, J. Ardizzone, J. Terry, J.-C. Jusem, E. Brin, D. Bungato, and J.F. Le Marshall. Evaluation of WINDSAT surface wind data and its impact on ocean surface wind analyses and numerical weather prediction. Preprints, 11th Symposium on Integrated Observing and Assimilation Systems for the Atmosphere, Oceans, and Land Surface (IOAS-AOLS), San Antonio, TX, January 14-18, 2007. American Meteorological Society, Boston, CD-ROM, 6 pp. (2007).
- [22] Atlas, R., O. Reale, B.-W. Shen, and S.-J. Lin. The use of remotely sensed data and innovative modeling to improve hurricane prediction. In Algorithms and Technologies for Multispectral, Hyperspectral, and Ultraspectral Imagery XII, S.S. Shen and P.E. Lewis (eds.). Proceedings, SPIE, 6233:62330U, doi:10.1117/12.673221 (8 pp.) (2006).
- [23] Atlas, R., O. Reale, J. Ardizzone, J. Terry, J.-C. Jusem, E. Brin, D. Bungato, and P. Woiceshyn. Geophysical validation of WINDSAT surface wind data and its impact on numerical weather prediction. In Atmospheric and Environmental Remote Sensing Data Processing and Utilization II: Perspective on Calibration/Validation Initiatives and Strategies, A.H. Huang and H.J. Bloom (eds.). Proceedings, SPIE, 6301:63010C (7 pp.) (2006).

- [24] Atlas, R. Results of recent OSSEs to evaluate the potential impact of lidar winds. In Lidar Remote Sensing for Environmental Monitoring VI, Proceedings, SPIE, 5887:118-125 (2005).
- [25] Atlas, R. The impact of AIRS on weather prediction. In Algorithms and Technologies for Multispectral, Hyperspectral, and Ultraspectral Imagery XI, S.S. Shen and P.E. Lewis (eds.). Proceedings, SPIE, 5806:599-606 (2005).
- [26] Atlas, R. The impact of current and future polar-orbiting satellite data on numerical weather prediction at NASA/GSFC. In Applications with Weather Satellites, W.P. Menzel and T. Iwasaki (eds.). Proceedings, SPIE, 5658:132-143 (2005).
- [27] Atlas, R., A.Y. Hou, and R. Oreste. Application of SeaWinds scatterometer and TMI-SSM/I rain rates to hurricane analysis and forecasting. Journal of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing, 59(4):233-243 (2005).
- [28] Atlas, R., O. Reale, B.-W. Shen, S.-J. Lin, J.-D. Chern, W. Putman, T. Lee, K.-S. Yeh, M. Bosilovich, and J. Radakovich. Hurricane forecasting with the highresolution NASA finite volume general circulation model. Geophysical Research Letters, 32(3):L03807, doi:10.1029/2004GL021513 (2005).
- [29] Atlas R., Ardizzone J., Hoffman R.N., 2008: Application of satellite surface wind data to ocean wind analysis, Proc. SPIE, Vol. 7087, 70870B (2008); DOI:10.1117/12.795371.
- [30] Atlas R., Hoffman R.N., Ardizzone J., Leidner M., Jusem J.C., 2008: A New Cross-Calibrated, Multi-Satellite Ocean Surface Wind Product, 2008 IEEE International Geoscience & Remote Sensing Symposium, MO3.111.2.
- [31] Atlas R., Hoffman R. N., Ardizzone J., Leidner S. M., Jusem J. C., 2009: Development of a new cross-calibrated, multi-platform (CCMP) ocean surface wind product. AMS 13th Conference on Integrated Observing and Assimilation Systems for Atmosphere, Oceans, and Land Surface (IOAS-AOLS)
- [32] Baker, W. E., R. Atlas, E. Kalnay, M. Halem, P. M. Woiceshyn, and D. Edelmann, 1984a: Large-scale analysis and forecast experiments with wind data from the Seasat-A scatterometer. J. Geophys. Res., 89, 4927-4936.
- [33] Baker, W. E., R. Atlas, M. Halem, and J. Susskind, 1984b: A case study of forecast sensitivity to data and data analysis techniques.
- [34] Baker, W. E., S. C. Bloom, J. S. Woollen, M. S. Nestler, E. Brin, T. W. Schlatter, G. W. Branstator, 1987: Experiments with a three-dimensional statistical objective analysis, scheme use FGGE data, <u>Mon. Wea. Rev.</u>, in press.

- [35] Bloom, S. C., L. L. Takacs, and E. Brin, 1991: A scheme to incorporate analysis increments gradually in the GLA assimilation system. <u>Proceedings Ninth Conf.</u> <u>on Numerical Weather Prediction</u>.
- [36] Busalacchi, A. J., R. Atlas and E. Hackert 1993: Comparison of SSM/I vector wind stress with model-based and subjective products in the Tropical Pacific. J.Geophs.Res., 98, C4, 6961-6977.
- [37] Chahine, M.T., T.S. Pagano, H.H. Aumann, R. ATLAS, C. Barnet, J. Blaisdell, L. Chen, M. Divakarla, E.J. Fetzer, M. Goldberg, C. Gautier, S. Granger, S. Hannon, F.W. Irion, R. Kakar, E. Kalnay, B.H. Lambrigtsen, S.-Y. Lee, J. LeMarshall, W.W. McMillan, L. McMillin, E.T. Olsen, H. Revercomb, P. Rosenkranz, W.L. Smith, D. Staelin, L.L. Strow, J. Susskind, D. Tobin, W. Wolf, and L. Zhou. AIRS: Improving weather forecasting and providing new data on greenhouse gases. Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society, 87(7):911-926 (2006).
- [38] Clarke, R. H., 1970: Observational studies in the atmospheric boundary layer. Quart. J. Roy. Meteoro. Soc. <u>96</u>, 91-114.
- [39] Duffy, D. and R. Atlas, 1986: The impact of Seasat-A scatterometer data on the numerical prediction of the QEII storm. J. Geophys. Res., 91, 2241-2248.
- [40] Gentemann C.L., Minnett P.J., Borgne P., Merchant C., 2008: Multi-satellite measurements of large diurnal warming events, Geophys. Res. Lett., Vol. 35, No. 22, L22602 10.1029/2008GL035730.
- [41] Halem, M., E. Kalnay-Rivas, W. Baker, and R. Atlas, 1982: An assessment of the state of the atmosphere as inferred from the FGGE satellite observing system during SOP-1. <u>Bull. Amer. Soc. Meteor.</u>, <u>63</u>, 407-426.
- [42] Helfand, H. M., and J. C. Labraga, 1988: Design of a non-singular level 2.5 second-order closure model for the prediction of atmospheric turbulence. J. <u>Atmos. Sci.</u>, 45, 113-132.
- [43] Helfand, H. M., M. Fox-Rabinovitz, L. Takacs, and A. Molod, 1991: Simulation of the planetary boundary layer and turbulence in the GLA GCM, <u>Proceedings</u> <u>Ninth Conf. on N. W. P.</u>
- [44] Hoffman, R. N., 1982: SASS wind ambiguity removal by direct minimization. Mon. Wea. Rev., 110, 434-445.
- [45] Hoffman, R. N., 1984: SASS wind ambiguity removal by direct minimization. Part II: Use of smoothness and dynamical constraints. <u>Mon. Wea. Rev.</u>, <u>112</u>, 1829-1852.

- [46] Hoffman, R. N., M. Leidner, J. M. Henderson, R. Atlas, J. V. Ardizzone, and S. C. Bloom, "A two-dimensional variational analysis method for NSCAT ambiguity removal: methodology, sensitivity, and tuning." Journal of Atmospheric & Oceanic Technology, Vol. 20, pp. 585-605, May 2003.
- [47] Kalnay, E. and R. Atlas, 1986: Global analysis of ocean surface wind and wind stress using the GLAS GCM and Seasat scatterometer winds. <u>J. Geophys. Res.</u>, <u>91</u>, 2233-2240.
- [48] Lenzen, A., Johnson, D.R. and R.Atlas, 1993: Quasi-Lagrangian analysis of the effect of horizontal resolution and Seasat scatterometer data on GLA model simulations of the QEII storm. <u>Mon.Wea.Rev., 121</u>, 499-521.
- [49] Liu, W.T., W.Tang and R.Atlas, 1993: Sea surface temperature exhibited by a ocean general circulation model in response to wind forcing derived from satellite data. <u>Remote Sensing of the Oceanic Environment</u>, Seibutsu Kenkyusha Co., Tokyo, Japan. 350-355.
- [50] Li, J.-L., D.E. Waliser, J.H. Jiang, D.L. Wu, W. Read, J.W. Waters, A.M. Tompkins, L.J. Donner, J.-D. Chern, W.-K. Tao, R. ATLAS, Y. Gu, K.N. Liou, A. Del Genio, M. Khairoutdinov, and A. Gettelman. Comparisons of EOS MLS cloud ice measurements with ECMWF analyses and GCM simulations: Initial results. Geophysical Research Letters, 32(18):L18710, doi:10.1029/2005GL023788 (2005).
- [51] Peteherych, S., M. G. Wurtele, P. M. Woiceshyn, D. H. Boggs, and R. Atlas, 1984: First global analysis of Seasat scatterometer winds and potential for meteorological research. <u>Frontiers of Remote. Sensing of the Oceans the</u> <u>Troposphere from Air and Space Platforms</u>, URSI, Shoresh, Israel, May 14-22, 575-586.
- [52] Rienecker, M.M., R.Atlas, S.D.Schubert and C.A.Scholz, 1994: A comparison of wind products over the North Pacific ocean. Submitted to J. Geophys. Res.
- [53] Sasaki, Y., 1970: Some basic formalisms in numerical variational analysis. <u>Mon.</u> <u>Wea. Rev.</u>, <u>98</u>, 875-883.
- [54] Schroeder, L. C., D. H. Boggs, G. Dome, I. M. Halberstam, W. L. Jones, W. J. Pierson, and F. J. Wentz, 1982: The relationship between wind vector and normalized radar cross section used to derive Seasat-A Satellite Scatterometer winds. J. Geophys. Res. 87, 3318-3336.
- [55] Shen, B.-W., R. ATLAS, J.-D. Chern, O. Reale, S.-J. Lin, T. Lee, and J. Chang. The 0.125 degree finite-volume general circulation model on the NASA Columbia supercomputer: Preliminary simulations of mesoscale vortices.

Geophysical Research Letters, 33(5):L05801, doi:10.1029/2005GL024594 (2006).

- [56] Shen, B.-W., R. ATLAS, O. Reale, S.-J. Lin, J.-D. Chern, J. Chang, C. Henze, and J.-L. Li. Hurricane forecasts with a global mesoscale-resolving model: Preliminary results with Hurricane Katrina (2005). Geophysical Research Letters, 33(13):L13813, doi:10.1029/2006GL026143 (2006).
- [57] Susskind, J., and R. ATLAS. Atmospheric soundings from AIRS/AMSU in partial cloud cover. In Algorithms and Technologies for Multispectral, Hyperspectral, and Ultraspectral Imagery XI, S.S. Shen and P.E. Lewis (eds.). Proceedings, SPIE, 5806:587-598 (2005).
- [58] Wentz, F. J., L. A. Mattox, and S. Peteherych, 1986: New algorithms for microwave measurements of ocean winds, J. Geophys. Res., 91, 2289-2307.
- [59] Wentz F.J., Lucrezia R., Hilburn K., Mears C., 2007: How Much More Rain Will Global Warming Bring?. Science 317, 233 DOI: 10.1126/science.1140746

### 13. Acronyms:

**4-DVAR**: Four-Dimensional Variation

ADEOS-II: Advanced Earth Observing Satellite, 2<sup>nd</sup> Generation

AMSR-E: Advanced Microwave Scanning Radiometer - Earth Observing System

ASCAT: Advanced Scatterometer

**CCMP**: Cross-Calibrated, Multi-Platform

**CISL**: Computation and Information Systems Laboratory

**DISCOVER**: Distributed Information Services for Climate and Ocean products and Visualizations for Earth Research

**DMSP**: Defense Meteorological Satellites Program

ECMWF: European Centre for Medium-Rage Weather Forecasts

**ENSO**: El Niño-Southern Oscillation

**EOS**: Earth Observing System

ERA-40: ECMWF Re-Analysis – 40km grid resolution

ERS: European Remote-Sensing Satellite

**ESE**: Earth Science Enterprise

**FGAT**: First Guess at the Approximate Time

FLK: First-Look products

FTP: File Transfer Protocol

GCOM-W: Global Change Observation Mission - Water

**GMI**: GPM Microwave Imager

GPM: Global Precipitation Measurement

GrADS: Grid Analysis and Display System

**GSFC**: Goddard Space Flight Center

HDF: Hierarchical Data Format

JPL: Jet Propulsion Laboratory

**LLK**: Late-Look products

MEaSURE: Making Earth System Data Records for Use in a Research Environment

NASA: National Aeronautics and Space Administration

NCAR: National Center for Atmospheric Research

**NetCDF**: Network Common Data Format

NOAA: National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

NSCAT: NASA Scatterometer

PIRATA: Pilot Research Moored Array in the Atlantic

**PMEL**: Pacific Marine and Environmental Laboratory

PO.DAAC: Physical Oceanography Distributed Active Archive Center

QuikSCAT: NASA Quick Scatterometer

**REASoN:** Research, Education and Applications Solutions Network

**RSS**: Remote Sensing Systems

SASS: Seasat-A Scatterometer System

SSM/I: Special Sensor Microwave Imager

SMMR: Scanning Multichannel Microwave Radiometer

TAO: Tropical Atmosphere Ocean project

TMI: TRMM Microwave Imager

TRMM: Tropical Rainfall Measuring Mission

VAM: Variational Analysis Method

### **14. Document History**

#### **Document Draft Date:**

7 May 2009

#### **Document Review Date:**

19 May 2009

#### **Document Revision Date:**

11 May 2010

#### Citation:

Document material originally provided by J. V. Ardizzone on 15 October 2008. First draft prepared by D. F. Moroni on 7 May 2009. JPL Document Review completed on 19 May 2009. Document revised by D. F. Moroni on 11 May 2010.

**Document Location:** ftp://podaac.jpl.nasa.gov/ocean\_wind/ccmp/L3.0/doc